

DRAFT

Peer Review Plan

Cross Lake Water Supply Feasibility Report, Shreveport, LA

Reference EC 1105-2-408, paragraph 6:

a. The purpose of this report is to present the results of the feasibility phase investigation of water supply issues and needs in the greater Shreveport, Louisiana area. Plans that are economically justified, environmentally acceptable, and address the present and future water supply needs for the area will be evaluated, and a recommendation concerning further Federal action will be made.

PDT members, all within Vicksburg District, include:

| Name | Office Symbol | Function |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Mike Warren | CEMVK-PP-D | Project Manager |
| Randy McAlpin | CEMVK-ED-D | Electrical Engineer |
| Fred Lee | CEMVK-ED-DC | Mechanical Engineer |
| Ramona Warren | CEMVK-PP-PQ | Biologist |
| Richard Miller | CEMVK-RE-EP | Real Estate |
| James Harper | CEMVK-ED-CE | Relocations |
| Jim Wojtala | CEMVK-PP-PQ | Archaeologist |
| Phil Hegwood | CEMVK-ED-CC | Cost Engineering |
| Joey Windham | CEMVK-ED-H | Hydraulics |
| Randy Brown | CEMVK-CT-C | Contracting |
| Lee Robinson | CEMVK-PP-PE | Economics |
| Will Bradley | CEMVK-ED-DS | Structural Engineer |

POC for Vicksburg District for this investigation is **Mike Warren, 601-631-5008**.

POC for the Water Management and Reallocation Studies PCX is **Peter Shaw, 469-487-7038**.

b. The report not expected to produce novel or controversial scientific information, and is therefore subject to ITR only.

c. Peer review is an ongoing process during civil works project planning. In addition, there are specific milestones for performing and reporting peer review. Currently targeted dates of peer review are: prior to the Feasibility Scoping Meeting, which is currently scheduled for []; and prior to the Alternative Formulation Briefing, which is currently scheduled for []. Additional targeted dates for peer review may be established during the course of the study, as warranted.

d. External peer review is not anticipated.

DRAFT

- e. Public comment will be gained by making the report available on the District web page along with contact information, and by conducting a public meeting in the Shreveport area.
- f. As the need arises, public comments of note will be forwarded to the ITR team before the review is conducted.
- g. Eight to ten reviewers may be needed for the review process.
- h. Areas of expertise needed to conduct the review include: engineering design (SE, EE, ME); hydrology and hydraulics; environmental resources; cultural resources; economics; real estate; and cost estimating.
- i. Because of the limited scope of this project, reviewers will be selected within the Corps.
- j. Public nominations of reviewers will not be required.